

AP History Long Essay Question Rubric

AP History LEQ Rubric (6 points)

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	Decision Rules
A. THESIS/CLAIM (0–1 pt)	1 pt. Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.	<i>To earn this point, the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt, rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion.</i>
B. CONTEXTUALIZATION (0–1 pt)	1 pt. Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.	<i>To earn this point, the response must describe broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the prompt that are relevant to the topic. This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or a reference.</i>
C. EVIDENCE (0–2 pts)	1 pt. Provides specific examples of at least two pieces of evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt. OR 2 pts. Supports an argument in response to the prompt using at least two pieces of specific and relevant evidence.	<i>To earn one point, the response must identify specific historical examples relevant to the topic of the prompt. To earn two points the response must use specific historical evidence to support an argument in response to the prompt.</i>

Reporting Category**Scoring Criteria****Decision Rules****D. ANALYSIS AND REASONING (0–2 pts)****1 pt.**

Uses historical reasoning (e.g. comparison, causation, CCOT) to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt.

OR 2 pts.

Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt through sophisticated argumentation and/or effective use of evidence.

To earn the first point, the response must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument, although the reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.

To earn the second point, the response may demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:

- *Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR*
- *Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR*
- *Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR*
- *Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.*

A response may demonstrate a complex or nuanced understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:

- *Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence (at least **four**) support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR*
- *Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.*

This complex understanding must be part of the argument and may be demonstrated in any part of the response.

While it is not necessary for this complex understanding to be woven throughout the response, it must be more than merely a phrase or reference.